Interview Summary	09/908,950	GETTS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Shar Hashemi	1637
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) <u>Shar_Hashemi</u> .	(3)	
(2) Morris E. Cohen (applicant's representative). (4)		
Date of Interview: <u>03/13/03</u> .		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 8</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>During the telephonic interview, the following issues were discussed: "thymine base" recited in claim 8 (page 33, line 4) and steps in the method claim 1 (page 1).</u> (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims		
allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
		•
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signa	ature, if required

Application No.

Applicant(s)